## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MIDLAND-ODESSA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
	)	
VS.	)	NO. MO-12-CR-123
	)	
DARLENE AURELIA BISHOP	)	

## GOVERNMENT'S MOTION FOR REVOCATION OF RELEASE ORDER, AND MOTION TO STAY RELEASE PENDING HEARING

Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3145(a), the United States hereby asks the United States District Court to review, and revoke, the decision of the United States Magistrate Judge on May 25, 2012, to release the Defendant DARLENE AURELIA BISHOP on bond.

Defendant BISHOP is charged with multiple violations of a 18 U.S.C. § 1343 (wire fraud) and multiple counts of money laundering.

Substantial evidence exists that Defendant is a danger to the community inasmuch as she has continued to commit financial crimes even after her civil trial and knowing that she was under investigation for this scheme. Indeed, evidence since she has been in custody on these charges reveals that Defendant has continued to try to contact two of the individuals who she has most recently had business dealings. Both of those individuals are currently under investigation or have been recently sued for similar fraudulent activities.

The United States submits that this Court cannot set any condition or combination of conditions to reasonably ensure that Defendant will not engage in additional financial crimes that continue to harm the community.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, the United States prays that this Court grant a hearing as soon as possible on the United States's Motion for Revocation of the Magistrate's Conditions of

Release Order, and that it stay<sup>1</sup> said Order pending the outcome of that hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT PITMAN
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

By: /s/ Austin M. Berry
AUSTIN M. BERRY
Assistant United States Attorney
400 West Illinois, Suite 1200
Midland, Texas 79701
(432) 686-4110

#### **Certificate of Service/Conference**

I hereby certify that on May 25, 2012, I filed this document with the Clerk using the CM/ECF filing system, which will cause a copy of the document to be delivered to counsel for Defendant:

### Ian Cantacuzene- Counsel for Defendant Darlene Aurelia Bishop

Mr. Cantacuzene is opposed to any stay.

/s/ AUSTIN M. BERRY
Assistant United States Attorney

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>An immediate stay is proper, for without it the district court's power to review a release order pursuant to Section 3145(a) would be illusory. *United States v. Brigham*, 569 F.3d 220, 229 (5th Cir. 2009).

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MIDLAND-ODESSA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)		
VS.	)	NO. MO-12-CR-123	
DARLENE AURELIA BISHOP	)		
<u>ORDER</u>			
On this date came on to be considered t	the United S	States's Motion for Revocation of	
Release Order in the above styled cause. The C	Court believ	es a hearing should be held on the	
United States's Motion.			
It is, THEREFORE, ORDERED that a	hearing on	the United States's Motion is hereby	
set for hearing on, 20	012, at	m. at the United States	
Courthouse in Midland, Texas.			
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the	Order of the	e United States Magistrate Court for the	
Western District of Texas releasing Defendant	BISHOP of	n bond conditions is hereby STAYED	
pending the outcome of the hearing. Defendant	t BISHOP s	shall remain in custody pending the	
outcome of that hearing.			
SIGNED and ENTERED this the	da	y of May, 2012.	
		I. ROBERT JUNELL ed States District Judge	